~~477~~ 38
29

O.F. 12.

192

Department of L R & Sett

OFFICE Of the Board of Revenue

STATION Coimbatore

Pt
Misc. No. 670

Dated 24.2.28

C/0413-9

To whom sent

	Date	Initial
Given for copying		
Copied	25/2/28	
Examined	25/2/28	
Signed		
Given for despatch		
Despatched	28.2.28	
Indexed		
To be destroyed on*		

Pages in the file 20 cc
6 nc

(SUBJECT.)

V.Os- Bellary — Hadapalli T.
Gadikeri Vge - Late Ag Headman
Debarred from Re employment
& Sankaralingam - Petition

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records

Madras.

Honoured Sir,

H. Sakra Goud, late reddy of Gaddik
Hadagalli taluk, Bellary District, most respect
submit the following revision petition against
the Collector of Bellary D Dis C No. 275/27 A

2 The missal reddy of the said villa
suspended for six months and the petitioner ha
to act for him in preference to the nominee pr
The petitioner accordingly acted as the reddy
from 25th December 1925 to 25th June 1926.

3 After a lapse of more than six mon
had vacated his office the Divisional Officer
pleased to issue an order (D Dis C II6/27 A 3
that the petitioner is not now in office and t
not be re-employed. Against this order the pe
preferred an appeal to the Collector and the l
was pleased to hold that the petitioner has no
and that he sees no reason to interfere in rev

4 In this connection the humble peti
that the Divisional Officer's order under refe
seem to have been passed under the powers dele
by Act III of 1895 but it seems to be an order
under the general powers of supervision exerci
Hence every such order passed by the Divisiona
exercise of such powers is subject to revisio
and the Board of Revenue. It is under the pow
supervision the Collector has over the acts of
that this petition was presented to him. The
therefore prays that the Collector may be req
entertain the petitioner's appeal and dispose
merits after hearing the petitioner in person

C 10413

BOARD OF REVENUE
18 OCT 1927
LAND REVENUE & SETTLEMENT

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue,

Settlement and Land Revenue,

Madras.

Honoured Sir,

H. Sakra Goud, late reddy of Gaddikeri village, Hadagalli taluk, Bellary District, most respectfully begs to submit the following revision petition against the order of the Collector of Bellary D Dis C No. 275/27 A I dated 14.7.27.

2 The missal reddy of the said village had been suspended for six months and the petitioner had been appointed to act for him in preference to the nominee proposed by him. The petitioner accordingly acted as the reddy of the village from 25th December 1925 to 25th June 1926.

3 After a lapse of more than six months the petitioner had vacated his office the Divisional Officer of Hospet was pleased to issue an order (D Dis C II6/27 A 3 dated 15.1.27) that the petitioner is not now in office and that he should not be re-employed. Against this order the petitioner preferred an appeal to the Collector and the latter officer was pleased to hold that the petitioner has no right of appeal and that he sees no reason to interfere in revision.

4 In this connection the humble petitioner submits that the Divisional Officer's order under reference does not seem to have been passed under the powers delegated to him by Act III of 1895 but it seems to be an order passed by him under the general powers of supervision exercised by him. Hence every such order passed by the Divisional Officer in the exercise of such powers is subject to revision by the Collector and the Board of Revenue. It is under the powers of general supervision the Collector has over the acts of his sub-ordinates that this petition was presented to him. The petitioner therefore prays that the Collector may be requested to entertain the petitioner's appeal and dispose it of on its merits after hearing the petitioner in person.

5 If it cannot be regarded as an appeal petition it may be regarded as a revision petition. The petitioner's main contention is that the order of the Divisional Officer is subject to revision by the higher authorities. In the present case the order of the Divisional Officer is a punitive order in as much as it prohibits the employment of the petitioner for ever as the order is very vague and is capable of such an interpretation. Hence the petitioner's contention is that an appeal does lie to the Collector against this punitive order of the Divisional Officer.

6 It may be brought to the notice of the Honourable Board that appeals made in similar cases by the acting reddies of Beerabbi in Hadagalli taluk and Dharmasagar in Hospet taluk were entertained by the Collector and favourable orders have been passed. It is only in the humble petitioner's case the Collector has dismissed the appeal. The humble petitioner begs to bring to the notice of the Honourable Board that no charge was framed against him nor any opportunity was given to him to explain his conduct before the Divisional Officer. From his recent enquiries in his village the petitioner has come to understand that the vaccinator had visited the village in the early part of August 1926 ie, nearly a month and a half after the petitioner had vacated his office. The missal reddy of the village who did not relish the appointment of the petitioner as acting reddy seems to have instigated the vaccinator to concoct a case and with a view to bring the petitioner into trouble a case of small pox has been concocted against him. The vaccinator with a view to shirk his work of vaccination seems to have induced a few ryots of the village to speak to the effect that 2 of their children aged about a year ot two had an attack of small pox and that they were therefore not willing to have their children vaccinated. These individuals being residents of the village naturally wanted to oblige the missal reddy by giving false statements. Hence the statements made by the parties before the vaccinator in the presence of the missal reddy may be taken for what they are worth. Even the Health Inspector may be regarded to have

failed to do his duty as ~~there were~~ alleged to have occurred cases of small pox continuously from February to May 1926. The vaccinator's report was taken as a gospel and no officer of the Revenue Department has taken any trouble to verify the genuineness of the vaccinator's report. None of the inspecting officers viz, the vaccinator, the Health Inspector, the Revenue Inspector or the Talsildar ever made any remarks in the vaccination register or in the death & birth registers so long the petitioner continued in office. The Tahsildar had visited the village about week before the petitioner had vacated his office If there was really any case of small pox the fact would have been brought to light then and there, or noted in the Death & Birth or Vaccination Registers The petitioner submits that it was only invented against him long after he vacated the office with the connivance of the missal reddy. The petitioner's statement was taken by the Revenue Inspector only on 21.12.26 ie, nearly six months after he vacated his office and nearly a year after the alleged occurence of small pox. The petitioner has every reason to apprehend that the case of small pox must have occurred only during the missal reddy's regime and the latter taking advantage of the petitioner's absence must have thrown the blame upon the poor petitioner.

7 Lastly the humble petitioner submits that he belongs to the reddy's family being the brother of the reddy of Moorgeri, and that he has acted as reddy of the village in question for about six moths and that his appointment ceased on 25.6.26. He has also acted as reddy of the marginally noted villages of Hadagalli taluk for about 14 years without any slur on his character. He has passed the Village Officer's Special Test and is fully qualified to hold the post of the reddy.

1. Anekal
2. Kechinabandi
3. Moorgeri
4. Adur - Kyadigi-Lalli

8 In conclusion the humble petitioner submits that the prohibitive order passed by the Divisional Officer is too severe and works very hard on the humble petitioner all his life He therefore prays that the order of the Divisioanl Officer may be kindly cancelled so as to enable the petitioner to hold the post of the reddy of any village

9 The Collector's order referred to in Para I supra

4

together her with a copy of the Divisional Officer's order is enclosed duly stamped.

Io. The Collector's order ~~referred~~ to under reference reached the petitioner on 7th August last and that he immediately applied to the Divisional Officer for a copy of his order, which was delivered to him only on I5.9.27. Hence the petitioner submits that this petition may be considered as within time.

The petitioner beg to remain

Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Hospet

I6.IO.27

H. [illegible]

5.

Stamp Note

An stamps which refused

1-8-0 p1cf

0-8-0 p6cf

0-8-0 p8cf

2-8-0

C.F 34.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE Collector of Bellary
MEMORANDUM

Post Box No. T

Name

No.

D.Dis C.No. 270/27 A.F

Short title

Village officers- Gaddi Padamalli taluk-
READ the following:— Gaddikeri village- late Gaddi- V.Sankaramm
reemployment prohibited- appeal dismissed.

Lr. at DO's I Dis C.No. 1185 dated 29-6-27

TAHSILDAR'S OFFICE
18 JUN 1927
BALLARI

O r d e r

He has no right of appeal against the Rev. Di nal Officer's orders.

I see no reason to interfere in revision. This petitio is dismissed.

St.C.A.Crofton,
Colr.

True copy
By order

16.7.27

16/17-7-27

V. Christadoss.

To the DO., Despat with records. Copy to the appellant thro' the Tahsildar, Gadamalli.

Mrs Sc 11880
19-7-27

forwarded

Robertson

Calcutta

28/7
18/7/27

THREE FOR COPIES ONLY ANNAS





D. Dis. C. 116/27. Dated 15-1-1927.

Proceedings of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Hospet.

Village Officers - Hadagalli Taluk - Gadrikeri village - Sakralgowd - Acting Reddi - Failure to report cases of Small-pox - Orders - passed. —

Reference Hadagalli Tahsildar's D. Dis. No. Nil of 31-12-26. —

Order

The Reddi is reported to be only an acting man and is not now in office. He should not be re-employed.

(Signed) R. S. Sundaram Ayyar
15-1-1927.
Revenue Divisional Officer.

(True Copy)

Copied by N. Subba Rao
Compared by [illegible]
14/1/27

Corrections Nil

71 words.

B/00 14/1/27
Head Clerk

No 194 of 3
25.2.27
N. Sakungpan Bangkok
P. Bhatkapan
F.V. Haidyk

RCA

Application No. 47 received [for] 27-9 pm
C.F. Stamped and returned 7-9 "
C.F. Stamped and returned 10-9 "
Copy ready 14-9 "
Copy delivered or sent by post to 15-9 " 1927.

R. F. XXII-A-19.—25,004—5-2-27. (6)

Subject.— Vos (Bellary) - Hadagalli ~~& Tk.~~ Tk. Goddikeri v/g - late acting headman - debarred from future employment - H. Sankara Pillai's revision petition - ~~dismissed~~ ordered

FOR MEMBER.

M 3

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____ dated _____ 192

" " " _____ " " _____ 192

READ ALSO :—

Register No. _____, From the C 10413-27, No. fl of 2, dated _____ 192

" " _____ " " " _____, " _____, " _____ 192.

" " _____ " " " _____, " _____, " _____ 192

RESOLUTION. Routine No. _____, dated _____ 192

The prohibitory order passed by the Revenue Divl Officer will be effective only during his term of office and will not bind his successor or the Collector. It is only Government that can permanently declare a man ineligible for public service. Subject to the above remarks the Board approves the Collector's order

p 8 cf

p 6 cf

2/ The petitioner is referred to the Collr for orders on his petition to the Board

Not issued Lr 10.2.28

To
The Collr of Bellary
The petnr through the Collr of Bellary (para 2 only) with a refund certificate.

Collr 27/10 NP 27/10 Lr 29.10.27

C.F. 361—1,162—16-9-25.

No.

CERTIFICATE.

I hereby certify that court-fee ~~stamp~~ stamps of the value of Rs. 2-8-0 (Rupees two and annas eight only), affixed to ~~petition document~~ petition in ~~petition case~~ No. C10413 of 1927, ~~is~~ are in excess of the legal requirements and ~~has~~ have been unnecessarily affixed and that H. Sankra Gowd of ——— hamlet, Gaddikeri village, Hadagalli taluk, is therefore entitled to receive back the sum of Rs. 2-5-0 (Rupees two and annas five only) within thirty days from the date of this certificate from the Treasury at Hadagalli

(OC)
24.2.28

23.2.28
In Secy.
29.10.27

Office of the Board of Revenue L.R. Sets Madras
Dated 23-2-28

Name
Designation Jnr Secretary

To

H. Sankra Gowd
Hospet
Bellary Dt

Chln 27.10.27 NB 27.10.27

No.

ADVICE.

To The Treasury Officer,
Hadagalli

A certificate has this day been issued to the following individual for the refund of the value of excess court-fee stamps affixed to his ~~petition document~~ C10413-27

Taluk Hadagalli

Hamlet —

Village Gaddikeri

Name of the party H. Sankra Gowd

Date of presentation of petition or document 1927

The value of excess court-fee stamps affixed Rs 2-8-0 Rupees two and annas eight only

Amount to be refunded Rs 2-5-0 Rupees two and annas five only

Remarks (OC) 24.2.28

23.2.28
In Secy
29.10.27

Office of the Board of Revenue L.R. Sets Madras
Dated 23-2-28

Name
Designation Jnr Secretary

Chln 27.10.27 NB 27.10.27

393

12

R. F. XXII-A-19.—25,004—5-2-27. (6)

Subject.—

FOR MEMBER.

VOs (Bellary) - Hadagalli Tk - Gaddikeri W/o - late active headman - prohibition re employment - H. Sankra Lowd - revision petition

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____ dated _____ 192 .

" " " _____ " " _____ 192 .

GU/5 READ ALSO :—

Register No. _____, From the C 10413-27- , No. pl cf _____, dated _____ 192

" " _____ " " " _____ " " _____ " " _____ 192

" " _____ " " " _____ " " _____ " " _____ 192

~~RESOLUTION.~~ Refer. No. C10413-27-1, dated 4-11 192

Referred to the Collector of Bellary for submission of records with remarks on any allegations in the petition which are not dealt with in the records.

4.11.27

Chon 4/11/27

To the Collector of Bellary with petition and enclosures for the return of records with report

NB 4/11/27

Gorne

NB 4/11/27

5/11

7.11

C.F. 32

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.

..... DEPARTMENT.

Received 16 DEC 19 Registered

D 12743

From (Name) C.D' A. Crofton, [illegible] I.C.S.,

(Designation Collector of Bellary.

Post Box..... (Station) .....

Telegraphic Address.....

To The Secretary to the Commissioners,

Land Revenue & Settlement, Madras.

Ref. No. C. 6017/27 dated 13-12-27 192

Sir,

ENCLOSURES ..... 1 list in duplicate and enclosures.

SPARE COPIES.....

Village officers - Hadagalli Taluk - Gaddikeri village - acting reddi - prohibition of the employment of H. Sankara Gowd - Report submitted.

Ref.- Board's reference C.No.10413-27-1 dated 4-11-27.

***

The petitioner Sankara Gowd worked as a Reddi temporarily from 25-12-25 to 25-6-26 in the vacancy caused by the suspension of the permanent man . In August 1926 the Vaccinator detected some cases of small-pox as having occurred in February or March 1926. The explanation of the Reddi was recorded on 21-12-26 and as he was not then in office, the Revenue Divisional Officer passed an order prohibiting him from being appointed as Reddi in his division.

2. In para 6 of the petition much emphasis is laid on the omission to frame a charge against the petitioner. He was only a temporary hand and he had vacated the office six months previously. An explanation was duly recorded from the party on 21-12-26 and I do not consider that the mere omission to frame a charge vitiated the order of the Revenue Divisional Officer.

3. The petitioner has not produced any records to show that the missal reddi concocted the story. It is for the appellant to prove his allegations against the Vaccinator and he did not choose to bring to notice on 21-12-26 all the allegations now made.

4. His present story is purely an after-thought, put in to bolster up his petition for revision. The petition and other records with a list in duplicate are enclosed.

B.R.C./14-12

For Collector.

CR. 15/12

***

List of records.

Hospet Rev. Dvl.Officer's D.Dis.C.116/27 dated 15-1-27.

Collector's D.Dis.C.2705/27 dated 14-7-27.

Register of births and deaths for 1926 and nominal list of all unprotected children of Gaddikeri village.

15

R. F. XXII-A-19.—25,001—5-2-27. (6)

Subject.—

FOR MEMBER.

V.D (Bellary) — Had called it
Gaddikera Vge — Late V.P (
H. Sankara Gowd
Revision Petition —

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____ dated _____ 192 .

" " _____ " " _____ 192 .

READ ALSO :—

Register No. _____, From the C./2763-V — No. D, dated _____ 192 .

" " _____ " " _____ " " _____ 192 .

" " _____ " " _____ " " _____ 192 .

RESOLUTION.

Refer C 10413-V-2 No. _____, dated 11.1. 192 8

The petition forwarded to the Collr with BJ's Refr 10413-V-1
D. 6.11.V has not been received
with the ~~record sent~~ Collr's
Re. 6017-V D.B. 12-V The Collr
is requested to expedite its submission.

11.1.28.

To the Collr of Bellary.

Cd
11-1-28

C.F. 32(a)

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

17

C735

BOARD OF REVENUE
Revenue
18 JAN 1928
RECEIVED
CLERK

Received ..... Registered .....

From (Name) C. D'A. Crofton Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector,

Post Box ....., (Station) Bellary.

Telegraphic Address .....

393

To The Secretary to the Commissioner,

Land Revenue & Settlement, Madras.

Ref C. *No.* 6017/27, *dated* 16th January 1928

Sir,

Village Officers- Hadagalli Taluk -Gaddikeri Village- Late Village Munsiff H. Sankara Gowd- revision petition.

Board's Ref C. 10413-27-2 dated 11-1-1928.

The petition is enclosed.

For Collector.

K.H. 17/1.

C.R. 15/1

Enclosures petition

Spare Copies .....

Translation of Palla 3, 597

of Reddi

WD, 116—V

15.1.V

Statement given by Patna Setti Virabhadrayya before Bavi Baravanagood, Reddi of Gaddikere.

My daughter by name Sanna Eravva, aged about a year and a half, had small-pox three months back. In my village, some more children are suffering from small-pox. Therefore we are not going to have (our children) Vaccinated.

Sd Patnasetti Virabhadrayya
14.8.26

Endorsement of the Reddi

The child is reported to have suffered from small-pox (Kannada unintelligible)

Sd. Reddi Bavi Baravanagood
14.8.26

Statement of Sogi Mallanagood before the Reddi of Gaddikere

My male child by name Kotragood, 8 months old, suffering from small-pox some 2½ months back. Some more children in

an village are suffering from
small-pox. Hence, we are
not going to have our children
vaccinated

Sd. Gaddikere Sogi Mallanapanavar
9.8.26.

The Children forward to
has suffered from small-pox
No report thereof has been given

Sd Reddi Bari Basavanapanavar
9-8-26.

Statement given by
Patnasetti Madivalappa
before the Head Clerk
Hadagali Camp.

My daughter Kotra Basavva
died of small-pox a 24.2.26
It is a fact that she had
small-pox. I have stated the fact.

Sd. Patnasetti Madivalappa
2-9-26

Subject.—

R. F. XXII-A-19.—25,604—5-2-27. (6)

FOR MEMBER.

A1(X12) V of — Bellary — Hadagalli TB — Gaddikeri Vge — Late acting Headman — Debarred from re-employment — H. Sankaralingam — Revision Petition — Allowed.

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____ dated _____ 192 .

" " " _____ , " _____ 192 .

READ ALSO :—

Register No. C. 10613-V — p1 cb — f Q Petr, No. _____ , dated _____ 192 .

" " C. 12743-V — p13 cb — f Q Coll r, " _____ , " _____ 192 .

" " C 735-V — p17 cb — f

" " _____ , " _____ , " _____ 192 .

RESOLUTION. Misc. No. 670, dated 24.2. 192 8

p 8 cb

The R D's order dated 15.1.27, debarring the petitioner from re-employment is not based on proper enquiry. There is no evidence on which it can be supported. It is therefore set aside.

24.2.28.

To The petitioner, hi'ther (addrs p1 cb) refund certificate (at p11 cb)

The Collector of Bellary hi'ther records returned (list at p15 cb).

CV 23.2.28 fm 23.2.28

Issue fm 24.2.28

Note The refund certificate at p11 cb may be approved under the altered date 24.2.28

Vos (Bellary) - Hadagalli Tk - Gaddikeri
Vg - late acting headman - debarred from
future employment - H. Sankrappa Powd -
revision petition

C 10413 - 27

T & H.S -

The petitioner H Sankrappa Powde acted
p1cf as reddy of Gaddikeri from 25.12.25 to 25.6.
During that period it appears that small-
pox raged in the village and that he did not
report the fact to the higher authorities. As
he was not in office at the time when the RDO
passed orders on the punishment roll submitted
p8cf against him, the RDO ordered that the petitioner
should not be re-employed On appeal
p6cf to the Collector, he did not interfere with the
order of the RDO The petitioner has therefore
come up to the Board

2. The petitioner says that there was no case
17.2.28cf of small pox during the period he held office
and that the case was concocted to bring the
petitioner to troubles. He requests that the
order of the RDO at p8cf may be cancelled
p3cf soas to enable him to hold the post of reddy
(headman) of any village No second
appeal lies to the Board

3. The petitioner says that no charge was
framed against him and that his explt

was not called for. In a similar case the Board modified the Collr's order. The draft below follows the same lines and is submitted for approval

29.10.27

CH. NARASIMHARAO
27.10.27

This seems to be a case where the man has not had much of a chance & I don't feel much disinclined to agree with the findings in favour of the Collr. But the p[t] says he vacated office 25/6/26 but the vacancy existed only from 1/2 months later. & he was questioned 6 months after he vacated office. I can't find any records in the Collr office & also what engagement was held. Are they here?

1/11/27

To A.S

The records of the Collr's office are not here. They will be called for, if directed.

3.11.27

3/11

To A.S

Draft submitted.

4.11.27.

M3's remarks dated 1.11.27 at p. 2 nb are typed + submitted

3

This seems to be a case where the man has not had much of a chance and I do not put much reliance on the enquiries made if the statements in the petition - are correct. The petitioner says he vacated office 25-6-1926 but the vaccinator reported about this 1½ months later and the V.M. questioned 6 months after he vacated office. I can't find any re - cords from the Collector's office to show what enquiry was held. Are they here ?

(Id) H.A.B.V.
1-11-'27

M1

4

AS (A.R)

J. AS

The Rdo's ord debarring the petitn from further employment is at p. 11 of his Ord. 116/27 dated 15.1.27

(E)

The Vaccinator's report at p 1, & that of the Health Insp at p. 9 of (E), may be perused In the explanation of the petr at p 10 of E, the petitioner stated on 21.12.26 that there was no Small-pox in the village while he was acting as Reddy But the Reddy himself noted one case of death due to Small pox on 5.3.26 please see p. 1 of the death Returns (D) and as it was nearly 9 1/2 months after the occurence that the Reddy's was called on to explain the omission to note the cases of Small-p it was likely that he might have forgotten the single case that he recorded in March.26 And it is on the Tahsildar's recommendation at p 10 of (E) that 'Sanctn Govt may not be employed again', the Rdo. passed his ord at p. 11 of (E)

It is observed that the Vacr visited the Vge on 29.5.26 and remarked that he checked the registration of deaths: but he did not mention find out any more registration of deaths due to 'Small-pox', nor any of the other Offrs who seem to have checked the Death Registers on 18.2.26, 23.6.26, 22.5.26 11.6.26 22.7.26 10.8.26. It is only one of

p 2 of D.

(D)

64

2·9·26 that the Health Inspector corrected the registration of 11 deaths on 24·2·26 & 3·5·26 from Respiratory Diseases to Small-pox. pp 1, 2 (D).

Thus it is seen that the Reddy was found to have neglected the to register the deaths properly. But this kind of neglect is often noted found to be common with the V.M.s And the Reddy ordered Ebarrip the V.M. for ever seems rather severe in view of the allegations made in para 7 of the petition. The petitioner is a qualified man Travelers

Please get the translations of pp 3 to 7 in (E). MS 2·2·28

26·1·28 MS 9·2·28

The orders at page 10 of may be issued

Travel submitted below 8·2·28.

10·2·28

Where are the Health Inspector's reasons for making the corrections in the register?

17-2-28

M1

As to reference to M1's query above it is submitted that the Health Inspector recorded no reasons for such corrections either in the Death Register (D), or in his report to the D·H·O at p. 9 of (E) dated 2·9·26. According this report he seems to have based his corrections in the registers on the enquiries he made on 2·9·26.

2·9·26 that the Health Inspector corrected the registration of deaths on 24·2·26 & 3·5·26 from Respiratory Diseases to Small-pox. Thus it is seen that the Reddy was found to have neglected the to register the deaths properly: But this kind of negligence is often noted found to be common with the V·M's: And the Rd Dr. rightly observing the V·M· for ever seems rather severe in view of the allegations made in para 7 of the petition. The petitioner is a qualified man & Practitioner.

pp 1, 2 & (D)

Please get the translation of pp 3 to 7 in (E) JM 1·2·28

Translation submitted below CV 8·2·28.

CV 16·1·28 JM 9·2·28

The orders at page 10 of may be issued

4/10·2·28.

Where are the Health Inspector's reasons for making the corrections in the register?

17-2-28

M1

As (RR)

In reference to M1's query above it is submitted that the Health Inspector recorded no reasons for such corrections either in the Death Register (D) or in his report to the D·H·O. at p. 9 of (E) dated 2·9·26. According to his report, he seems to have based his corrections in the register on the enquiries he made on 2·9·26 in the Villa

5 6

There is no evidence on which the D.O. order can be supported. It is set aside.

22-2-28

This paper relates to punishment of V.O. which there is no [illegible]

[illegible]
-4-

Draft submitted:

23.2.28

24.2.28.

A

B.P.Mis. 670, Dated 24-2-'28.

List of records.

1. Hospet Revenue Divisional Officer's D.Dis. C 116/27 dated 15-1-1927.
2. Collector's D.Dis. C. 2705/27, dated 14-7-1927,
3. Register of births and deaths for 1926 and nominal list of all unprotected children of Gaddikeri village.

BOARD OF REVENUE, LAND REVENUE & SETTLEMENT
6-MAR 1928

C. 6017/27 (9)
Received

Collector

Collector's D.Dis. C. 2705/27 dated 14-7-1927 to the Board of Revenue